

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CON United States Patent and Trademark Offic Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/705,877 11/13/2003

Annick Reiner

G5005.0028

6289

32172

09/23/2004

EXAMINER ZEC, FILIP

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)

41 ST FL.

NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3744

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annlicontic	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s) REINER ET AL.	$\Lambda / \Lambda / \Lambda$
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Filip Zec	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		L	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,079,934 to Vinokurov. Looking at FIG. 1 of Vinokurov, one notices all elements claimed by the applicant, namely an air-conditioning apparatus (10), comprising a housing (12), a water tank (32), a humidifier (22) which moistens the air transported via a blower (16) through the housing and a ionization apparatus (36) for the release of negative ions into the air flow (col 4, lines 23-27) inside of the housing, wherein the ionization apparatus is arranged above the water tank (FIG. 3A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,079,934 to Vinokurov, in view of EP 0 644 379 to Vanella. Vinokurov discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a curative air-conditioning apparatus, substantially as claimed with the

exception of stating the use of a UV radiation lamp. Vanella shows a UV radiation lamp (30, FIG. 3), located on an intermediate plastic platform (19) above the water tank, containing a recess (28) for holding the UV lamp and a cover (29) to be old in the air-conditioning art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Vanella to modify the system of Vinokurov, by adding a UV radiation lamp in order to prevent the formation of bacteria and molding inside of the evaporator (col 4, line 9).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 4,884,416 to Hwang, Min-Su teaches electronic air-cleaning air conditioner automatically washed by water.
- U.S. Patent 4,295,343 to Izumi, Masahiko teaches a microbe-removing air-conditioning apparatus.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (703) 306-3446. The

Application/Control Number: 10/705,877

Art Unit: 3744

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with the exception of every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec

Examiner

Art Unit 3744

FZ

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700